



Universidade do Minho

Documentos de Trabalho Working Paper Series

"Inflation Persistence and Exchange Rate Regimes: Evidence from Developing Countries"

> Michael Bleaney Manuela Francisco

NIPE WP 1 / 2005

NÚCLEO DE INVESTIGAÇÃO EM POLÍTICAS ECONÓMICAS
UNIVERSIDADE DO MINHO

"Inflation Persistence and Exchange Rate Regimes: Evidence from Developing Countries"

Michael Bleaney Manuela Francisco

NIPE* WP 1 / 2005

URL:

http://www.eeg.uminho.pt/economia/nipe/documentostrabalho.php

^{*} NIPE – *Núcleo de Investigação em Políticas Económicas* – is supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology through the *Programa Operacional Ciência, Teconologia e Inovação* (POCTI) of the *Quadro Comunitário de Apoio III*, which is financed by FEDER and Portuguese funds.

Inflation Persistence and Exchange Rate Regimes: Evidence from Developing Countries

Michael Bleaney*
School of Economics
University of Nottingham

Manuela Francisco
Departamento de Economia
Universidade do Minho

Abstract

Using data for 102 developing countries, it is shown that inflation persistence is particularly high in countries with severe inflationary problems, and particularly low in countries on hard pegs. Inflation persistence is similar under floating and soft pegs.

Keywords: Inflation, persistence, exchange rates

JEL Nos: E31, F41

*Corresponding author:

Professor M.F. Bleaney, School of Economics, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K.

Tel. (+44) 115 951 5464 Fax (+44) 115 951 4159

e-mail: michael.bleaney@nottingham.ac.uk

INTRODUCTION

Inflation is well known to display significant persistence – an inflationary shock does not die away immediately (Fuhrer and Moore, 1995). Dornbusch (1982) shows that if the monetary authorities adopt an accommodatory stance by allowing the money supply to respond more to the price shock, then inflation will be more persistent. Since floating exchange rates confer more monetary independence on a country, it is natural to think that inflationary shocks will be accommodated more under floating rates, and will therefore be more persistent.

Empirical evidence in favour of this proposition has been provided by Alogoskoufis and Smith (1991), Alogoskoufis (1992) and Obstfeld (1995). The evidence offered by these authors compares persistence estimates for OECD countries over different periods characterized by different exchange rate regimes. This evidence has been questioned by Burdekin and Siklos (1999) on the grounds that shifts in persistence do not particularly coincide with shifts in exchange rate regime, and in fact appear to reflect other factors (such as the outbreak of wars). In addition, Anderton (1997) and Bleaney (2001) show that, since 1984, inflation persistence has not been any lower in countries that were members of the European Monetary System than in OECD countries that floated their exchange rates.

Two further points could be made. One is that, since the abandonment of the Gold Standard, pegs have been to another currency rather than to gold, so that importing the inflation persistence of the anchor currency through pegging does not necessarily mean reducing it (Bleaney, 2001). The other point is that, if there are few obstacles to devaluation, a peg may not be characterized by significantly less monetary accommodation than a float. To address the first point, it seems most appropriate to

focus on data from a period when monetary accommodation has been low. This has been particularly the case since about 1980, when monetary authorities in the OECD countries began to recognize that a tough response to inflation shocks was necessary to keep inflationary expectations down (Clarida *et al.*, 1998). To cater for the second point, it is desirable to include countries on different types of peg. Some pegs offer much more serious obstacles to devaluation than others. Adoption of the currency of another country (dollarization), the establishment of legally enforced automatic mechanisms for tightening monetary policy in response to losses of foreign exchange reserves (currency boards), and sharing a currency with other countries whose agreement is required for a devaluation (as in the African Financial Community) can all be described as "hard" pegs where devaluation is much more difficult or even impossible. Since there are no examples of such hard pegs amongst the OECD countries (at least until the creation of the euro), the focus of this paper is on developing countries. This differentiates our work from previous research, which has focused on OECD economies.

1. SAMPLE SELECTION AND METHODOLOGY

We use annual data for 102 developing countries (excluding transition economies) from 1984 to 2000, as listed in the Appendix. Our inflation measure is the change in the log of the consumer price index from one calendar year to the next (p), transformed as [p/(1+p)], so as to avoid too much distortion from outlying high observations (the transformed index has a maximum of one). Inflation persistence is estimated as the parameter a in the following regression:

$$p_{it} = ap_{it-1} + e_i + u_t + v_{it}$$
 (1)

where e represents a country fixed effect and u a time fixed effect, countries being indexed by i and time by t, and v is an error term. We present estimates of a from (1) and also from a modified version with u_t omitted (i.e. without time fixed effects).

The sample is split by exchange rate regime, which is observed on 31 December of each year. The IMF's official classifications of exchange rate regimes are aggregated into three categories as follows (IMF classifications in parentheses – for more details see Bleaney and Francisco, 2003):

Hard Pegs (No Separate Legal Tender, Currency Board);

Soft Pegs (Peg to a Single Currency, Peg to a Composite of Currencies, Crawling Pegs and Bands, Limited Flexibility);

Floats (Managed Floating, Independently Floating).

For an observation to be included, the exchange rate regime must be the same on 31 December of year t and year t-1.

We also split the sample into countries defined as having experienced severe inflationary problems, and the remainder. "Severe inflationary problems" are defined as an average inflation rate of over 25 % p.a. throughout the period, or at least one year in which inflation exceeded 170 %. The countries in this category are listed in the Appendix.

2. RESULTS

Table 1 shows the results. Taking the sample as a whole (the top part of the table), inflation persistence appears quite high – between 0.5 and 0.6 for both floats and pegs, whether or not the equation allows for year effects. When pegs are split into hard

pegs and soft pegs, however, dramatic differences appear. The estimate of inflation persistence is approaching 0.7 for soft pegs, but below 0.21 for hard pegs. Moreover persistence is significantly (positively) correlated with inflation for soft pegs and floats.

Omitting countries with severe inflationary problems reduces the estimates of inflation persistence considerably (other than for hard pegs), to below 0.4 for floats and about 0.3 for all pegs. For soft pegs it brings the estimate down to just above 0.4, although the difference in coefficients between hard and soft pegs is still statistically significant. Omitting high-inflation countries also eliminates the correlation between inflation persistence and the level of inflation, except when all pegs are combined (which is explained by the fact that hard pegs have both lower average inflation and lower inflation persistence). The countries with severe inflationary problems have very high inflation persistence (above 0.75), as is shown by the last line in the table. One reason for this may be that high inflation was much more of a problem in the 1980s than the 1990s, so that in many of these countries there has in effect been a structural break in the inflation process. By not allowing for this, the specification biases upwards the estimate of inflation persistence for these countries.

We have also tested whether inflation persistence varies with per capita GDP, openness and size of country as measured by population. The results were always negative after allowing for the factors described above.

3. CONCLUSIONS

It is not clear that soft pegs offer much of an obstacle to the monetary accommodation of inflationary shocks, because devaluations can be frequent. Our results show that inflation persistence is much the same under soft pegs as under floating. It is significantly lower under hard pegs (currency boards or a shared currency), where the scope for monetary accommodation of inflation shocks is much reduced.

REFERENCES

Alogoskoufis, G.S., 1992. Monetary accommodation, exchange rate regimes and inflation persistence, Economic Journal 102, pp. 461-80.

Alogoskoufis, G.S. and Smith, R.P., 1991. The Phillips curve, the persistence of inflation, and the Lucas critique: evidence from exchange-rate regimes, American Economic Review 81, pp. 1254-1275.

Anderton, R., 1997. Did the underlying behaviour of inflation change in the 1980s? A study of 17 countries, Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv 133, pp. 22-38.

Bleaney, M.F., 2001. Exchange rate regimes and inflation persistence, IMF Staff Papers 47, pp. 387-402.

Bleaney, M.F., and M. Francisco, 2003. Exchange rate regimes and inflation: only hard pegs make a difference, Discussion Paper no. 03/15, University of Nottingham School of Economics.

Burdekin, R.C. and Siklos, P., 1999. Exchange rate regimes and shifts in inflation persistence: does nothing else matter? Journal of Money Credit and Banking 31, pp. 235-247.

Clarida, R., Galí, J. and Gertler, M., 1998. Monetary policy rules in practice: some international evidence, European Economic Review 42, pp. 1033-1067.

Dornbusch, R., 1982. PPP exchange rate rules and macroeconomic stability, Journal of Political Economy 90, pp. 158-165.

Fuhrer, J. and Moore, G., 1995. Inflation persistence, Quarterly Journal of Economics 110, pp 127-159.

Obstfeld, M., 1995. International currency experience: new lessons and lessons relearned, Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1, pp. 119-220.

Appendix

Countries included in the sample (*on hard peg for some or all of the time; # with severe inflationary problems):

Algeria, Antigua and Barbuda*, Argentina*, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Belize, Benin*, Bhutan, Botswana, Brazil, Burkina Faso*, Cameroon*, Cape Verde, Central African Republic*, Chad*, Chile, Colombia, Comoros, Democratic Republic of Congo, Republic of Congo*, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire*, Djibouti*, Dominica*, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Equatorial Guinea*, Ethiopia, Fiji, Gabon*, Gambia, Ghana, Grenada*, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau*, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iran, Iraq, Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Laos, Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, Maldives, Malawi, Malaysia, Mali*, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Morocco, Myanmar, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger*, Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Panama*, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Rwanda, St. Lucia*, St. Vincent and Grenadines*, São Tomé and Principe, Saudi Arabia, Senegal*, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Solomon Islands, Somalia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Swaziland, Syria, Tanzania, Thailand, Togo*, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Vietnam, Zambia, Zimbabwe.

Table 1. Inflation Persistence Estimates for Different Samples

Regime	Sample Size	With year effects		Without year effects	
		Persistence	Coefficient	Persistence	Coefficient
		coefficient	correlated	coefficient	correlated
		(t-statistic)	with	(t-statistic)	with
			inflation?		inflation?
Floating	493	0.556	No	0.596	Yes
		(15.2)		(17.2)	
All pegs	806	0.558	Yes	0.547	Yes
		(17.4)		(17.1)	
Soft pegs	544	0.678	Yes	0.693	Yes
only		(17.7)		(18.5)	
Hard pegs	260	0.151	No	0.209	No
only		(2.52)		(3.48)	
Omitting countries with severe inflationary problems					
Floating	336	0.284	No	0.381	No
		(5.43)		(7.55)	
All pegs	722	0.307	Yes	0.309	Yes
		(17.4)		(8.22)	
Soft pegs	473	0.401	No	0.436	No
only		(8.55)		(9.48)	
Hard pegs	249	0.155	No	0.208	No
only		(2.35)		(3.29)	
Countries with severe inflationary problems					
All	268	0.764	No	0.818	No
		(17.7)		(20.7)	

Notes. Estimates refer to the parameter a in equation (1). See text for definition of regimes and severe inflationary problems. Where the persistence coefficient is correlated with inflation the correlation is always positive.

Most Recent Working Papers

NIPE WP 1/2005	Bleaney, Michael and Manuela Francisco, Inflation Persistence and Exchange Rate Regimes: Evidence from Developing Countries, 2004.
NIPE WP 13/2004	Pereira, Nuno, J. Cadima Ribeiro and José Viseu, Sport Tourism: Regional Promotion Stategies, 2004.
NIPE WP 12/2004	Monteiro, Natália Pimenta, Using propensity matching estimators to evaluate the impact of privatisation on wages, 2004.
NIPE WP 11/2004	Monteiro, Natália Pimenta, The Impact of Privatisation on Wages: Evidence from the Portuguese Banking Industry, 2004.
NIPE WP 10/2004	Monteiro, Natália Pimenta, Regulatory Reform and the Portuguese Banking Labour Market: two decades later, October 2004.
NIPE WP 9/2004	de Freitas, Miguel Lebre, Currency Substitution, Portfolio Diversification and Money Demand, October 2004.
NIPE WP 8/2004	Coelho, Cesar, Elections and Governments' Behaviour – An Application to Portuguese Municipalities, 2004.
NIPE WP 7/2004	Conraria, Luís Aguiar, Public vs Private Schooling in a Endogenous Growth Model, July 2004.
NIPE WP 6/2004	Silva, João Carlos Cerejeira da, Estimating the employer size-wage Premium in a panel data model with comparative advantage and non-random selection, May 2004.
NIPE WP 5/2004	Conraria, Luís Aguiar and Yi Wen, Foreign Trade and Equilibrium Indeterminacy, May 2004.
NIPE WP 4/2004	Veiga, Linda Gonçalves and Francisco José Veiga, Political Business Cycles at the Municipal Level, May 2004.
NIPE WP 3/2004	Ribeiro, José Cadima and José de Freitas Santos, Portuguese olive oil and the price of regional products: does designation of origin really matter?, February 2004.
NIPE WP 2/2004	Mourão, Paulo Reis, As disparidades regionais em Portugal: uma sugestão a partir de índices sintéticos, January 2004.
NIPE WP 1/2004	de Freitas, Miguel Lebre, The Dynamics of Inflation and Currency Substitution in a Small Open Economy, 2004.
NIPE WP 13/2003	Veiga, Francisco José, The Political Economy of Failed Stabilization, 2003.
NIPE WP 12/2003	de Freitas, Miguel Lebre , Revisiting Dollarisation Hysteresis: Evidence from Bolivia, Turkey and Indonesia, March 2003.
L	